Nanoparticle and contrail ice formation in next generation aviation fuels and engines

Fangqun Yu¹, Bernd Kärcher², Bruce Anderson³

¹State University of New York at Albany ²DLR Oberpfaffenhofen, Germany ³NASA Langley Research Center

Background contrail photo taken by F. Yu on January 11, 2023

International Air Transport Association (IATA)

IATA is the trade association for the world's airlines, representing some 330 airlines over 80% of total air traffic.



List of contributing organizations to the technology and infrastructure roadmaps



AIRBUS

• Air Liquide

AIRPORTS COUNCIL



DLR





Jacobs



to70

Universal Hydrogen



Air Transportation Systems Lab @ UCL ww.ATSLab.org



00

BOEING



Climeworks





Next generation aviation fuels and engines **Nanoparticles**





N_{cloud} (cm⁻³)

Alternative Fuel Effects on Contrails and Cruise Emissions Study (ACCESS) (Moore et al., 2017)

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vuZhkaJzSHE

0

0

How measurements combined with theoretical study and numerical modeling

can advance process-level understanding and prediction capability



Plate 3. Photo of the ATTAS flying with different fuels as seen from the Falcon cockpit at a distance of less than 100 m, at 1128:12 UTC, at FL 300 (9140 m) on a course toward SWS.



Yu, 1998, Ph.D Dissertation: "A Study of the Formation and Evolution of Aerosols and Contrails in Aircraft Wakes: Development, Validation and Application of an Advanced Particle Microphysics (APM) Model"



Chemi-ions are important for volatile particle formation (Yu and Turco, 1997; Yu et al., 1998, 1999)



Data from SULFUR-5 (Schröder et al., 1998) Figure from Yu, Turco, Kärcher, and Schröder, 1998

```
FSC = 20 ppm
```



Organic species can be essential in volatile particle formation and growth, especially when fuel sulfur content is low.

JOURNAL OF GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH, VOL. 104, NO. D4, PAGES 4079-4087, FEBRUARY 27, 1999

Figure 2. Computed evolution of the AEI for the total number of particles larger than certain assumed cutoff sizes for conditions that apply to the LS SULFUR-5 case (each line represents the variation over time of the AEI corresponding to a specific cutoff diameter). Key model parameters are the sulfuric acid emission index, $EI_{H_2SO_4}=6$ mg/kg fuel (i.e., $S_c=10\%$ and FSC=20 ppmm), the emission index of condensable organic compounds, $EI_{POM}=23$ mg/kg fuel, and the initial chemiion concentration, $n_{io}=2x 10^9 / \text{cm}^3$ (with half of the ions being positive and half negative). Shown for comparison are measured AEI values (diamonds) corresponding to particles with d>5 nm taken from Schröder et al. [1998].

The possible role of organics in the formation and evolution of ultrafine aircraft particles

Fangqun Yu and Richard P. Turco

Department of Atmospheric Sciences, University of California at Los Angeles

Bernd Kärcher

DLR Oberpfaffenhofen, Institut für Physik der Atmosphäre, Wessling, Germany



GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH LETTERS, VOL. 36, L01804, doi:10.1029/2008GL036649, 2009 Role of aircraft soot emissions in contrail formation

B. Kärcher¹ and F. Yu²

- Volatile plume and ambient particles compete with soot particles for the formation of contrail ice particles.
- Contrail ice formation is dominated by soot particles in soot-rich regime but by volatile particles in soot-poor regime at T well below the contrail formation threshold T.



Kärcher, *Nature Communications*, 2018

> How good is the model prediction? What are uncertainties?

An updated plume aerosol and contrail microphysics (ACM) model

Ions and particle formation in ambient air

Applications of updated plume aerosol and contrail microphysics (ACM) model

pubs.acs.org/est

On Nucleation Pathways and Particle Size Distribution Evolutions in Stratospheric Aircraft Exhaust Plumes with H₂SO₄ Enhancement

Fangqun Yu,* Bruce E. Anderson, Jeffrey R. Pierce, Alex Wong, Arshad Nair, Gan Luo, and Jason Herb

Cite This: Environ. Sci. Technol. 2024, 58, 6934–6944

Yu, F., B. Kärcher, and B. E. Anderson, Revisiting contrail ice formation: Impact of primary soot particle sizes and contribution of volatile particles, Environmental Science & Technology, under review, 2024.

ECLIF (Emission and CLimate Impact of alternative Fuels)

ECLIF campaigns 1-2

Fig. 1 The NASA DC8 research aircraft probing contrails from the DLR A320 burning sustainable aviation fuel blends. Photo showing the DC8 chasing a contrail from the A320 burning a sustainable aviation fuel blend above Germany on 24 January 2018.

ECLIF campaign 3

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-2638 Preprint. Discussion started: 21 November 2023

Powering aircraft with 100% sustainable aviation fuel reduces ice crystals in contrails

Raphael Satoru Märkl^{1, 2}, Christiane Voigt^{1, 2}, Daniel Sauer¹, Rebecca Katharina Dischl^{1, 2}, Stefan Kaufmann¹, Theresa Harlaß¹, Valerian Hahn^{1, 2}, Anke Roiger¹, Cornelius Weiß-Rehm¹, Ulrike Burkhardt¹, Ulrich Schumann¹, Andreas Marsing¹, Monika Scheibe¹, Andreas Dörnbrack¹, Charles Renard³, Maxime Gauthier³, Peter Swann⁴, Paul Madden⁴, Darren Luff⁴, Reetu Sallinen⁵, Tobias Schripp⁶, and Patrick Le Clercq⁶ Table 1. Ambient and aircraft conditions and measurements for the six case study of ECLIF campaigns reported in this work. (Data mostly from Voigt et al., 2021 and Markl et al., 2023).

Cases	1	2	3	4	5	6
	ECLIF1	ECLIF1	ECLIF2	ECLIF2	ECLIF3	ECLIF3
Source aircraft	Airbus A320	Airbus A320	Airbus A320	Airbus A320	Airbus A350	Airbus A350
Fuel	100% Jet A-1	59% JetA-1 +	51% JetA-1 +	70% JetA-1 +	100% Jet A-1	100% HEFA-
		41% FT-SPK	49% HEFA-SPK	30% HEFA-SPK		SPK
H (km)	10.67	10.364	9.726	9.656	10.626	10.621
T _{amb} (K)	215	220	218	216	213.3	213.8
RH _i (%)	120	111.5	120	110	108	107.5
V _{plane} (km/h)	802.75	716.3	938.6	938.6	1044.81	1052.22
FFR (kg/h)	1180	820	1132	1091	2700	2751.3
FSC (ppm)	1350	570	70	4.1	211	7
El _{H2O} (kg /kg-	1.227	1.283	1.287	1.297	1.258	1.35
fuel)						
El _{soot} (10 ¹⁵	4.9±0.6	2.5±0.2	2.7±0.6	2.3±0.6	0.95±0.3	0.61±0.07
#/kg-fuel)						
El _{ice} (10 ¹⁵ #/kg-	4.2±0.6	2±0.2	2.3±0.2	1.1±0.4	0.78±0.4	0.34±0.15
fuel)						
F _{ice}	0.86±0.23	0.80±0.14	0.85±0.26	0.48±0.30	0.82±0.68	0.56±0.31

Activation of soot particles is decided by the sizes of primary soot particles

Some soot particles didn't form contrail ice particles: Why?

Dependence of apparent contrail ice EI on EI_{soot} **under ECLIFs conditions**

ig. 1 The NASA DC8 research aircraft probing contrails from the DLR A320 bu contrail from the A320 burning a sustainable aviation fuel blend above Germai

Table 1. Ambient and aircraft conditions and me campaigns reported in this work. (Data mostly $\ensuremath{\mathsf{fi}}$

Cases	1	2	
	ECLIF1	ECLIF1	E
Source aircraft	Airbus A320	Airbus A320	Airbus
Fuel	100% Jet A-1	59% JetA-1 +	51% Je
		41% FT-SPK	49% HEF
H (km)	10.67	10.364	
T _{amb} (K)	215	220	
RH _i (%)	120	111.5	
V _{plane} (km/h)	802.75	716.3	
FFR (kg/h)	1180	820	
FSC (ppm)	1350	570	
El _{H2O} (kg /kg-	1.227	1.283	
El _{soot} (10 ¹⁵ #/kg-fuel)	4.9±0.6	2.5±0.2	2.
El _{ice} (10 ¹⁵ #/kg- fuel)	4.2±0.6	2±0.2	2.
Fice	0.86±0.23	0.80±0.14	0.85

The range of conditions for volatile nanoparticles to contribute significantly to the contrail ice number budget is wider than previously found.

Summary

- The aviation industry is actively pursuing new engine technologies and aviation fuels to achieve net-zero carbon emissions by 2050. There is an urgent need to understand the formation of nanoparticles under various scenarios and their implications for non-CO₂ climate impacts.
- The activation of non-volatile soot particles during contrail formation is likely determined by the sizes of primary soot particles rather than the effective sizes of soot aggregates.
- The range of conditions for volatile plume particles to contribute significantly to the contrail ice number budget is wider than previously found.

Exhaust Plume Age (s)