**19<sup>th</sup> ETH-Conference on Combustion Generated Nanoparticles** 

# Electron Tomography of Combustion Generated Nanoparticles

# J. Campbell, G. Di Liberto, M.W. Fay, A. La Rocca

Engine Research Group, Faculty of Engineering, The University of Nottingham

# The Problem

Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) of soot nanoparticles is limited to two dimensions. While it gives valuable information on the structure and reactivity of soot, it is not easy to correlate this to geometry of primary particles and agglomerates. Actual morphology is difficult to be interpreted while the surface area and

## **Proposed Solution**

Apply 3D-TEM to characterise soot agglomerates to yield information on the volumetric character of fractal nanoparticles.

<u>**Aims:</u>** Create volume renders of 3D visualizations of soot nanoparticles and measure their surface area and volume.</u>

# Methodology

#### Image Acquisition

Electron Tomography: 3D structure produced from aligned tilt-series 2D-TEM images.





#### **Tomographic Reconstruction**

Tomographic reconstruction using IMOD package. Weighted Back Projection (WBP) showed to produce clearest tomogram. Anisotropic Nonlinear Diffusion (AND) filter was employed to enhance the noise reduction.



#### **Model Construction and Analysis**

Three-dimensional models were created using the IMOD package.







Figure 1. Six frames from the tilt series (aligned, unfiltered), with scale bar of 50nm, showing fiducial markers as dark dots.

121 TEM images, from -60 to +60 degree tilt with no sign of carbon build up were acquired. Figure 2. Comparison of tomographic reconstruction methods, and the effect of a AND filter on the tomogram. Tomograms produced using WBP algorithm.

AND achieves smooth voxels but preserving the edges as much as possible.

Segmentation then focuses on distinguishing the set of voxels constituting the structural components of the tomogram. Figure 3. Volume renders of 3D visualizations of soot nanoparticle.

Compared with standard 2D-TEM, electron tomography has obvious superiority in revealing the actual agglomerate structure.

### Results

Models were used to find the surface area and volume of soot nanoparticles. Analysis completed within Matlab. The results were compared against the surface area and volume calculated for a sphere whose diameter is the skeleton length of the nanoparticles measured from 2D TEM projections, as shown in the table below.

Actual	Actual	Surface	Volume of	Sphere/Actual	Sphere/Actual
Surface	Volume	area of	sphere	Surface	Volume

### Conclusions

Low background contrast graphene oxide films are particularly advantageous for work of soot characterisation using TEM.

3D reconstruction of soot nanoparticles in the range of 50-600nm was demonstrated using the widely available WBP algorithm.

Using the anisotropic nonlinear diffusion filter, strength and direction of filtering can be adjusted to maximise results.

	Area 3D	3D	sphere enclosing particle	enclosing particle	Area ratio	ratio
	(nm²)	(nm³)	(nm²)	(nm³)		
WBP	57x10 <sup>3</sup>	554x10 <sup>3</sup>	172x10 <sup>3</sup>	6771x10 <sup>3</sup>	3.1	12.2
WBP & AND	43x10 <sup>3</sup>	343x10 <sup>3</sup>	170x10 <sup>3</sup>	6683x10 <sup>3</sup>	4.0	19.5

Three-dimensional models can be used to measure surface area and volume of soot-in-oil nanoparticles.

Surface area and volume calculated using geometrical estimates, such as spheres or spheroids, for the soot agglomerates can lead to overestimation of the real size, with actual volume being between 12 and 19 times smaller than of a sphere of equivalent size. Surface area is overestimated by a factor of 4.

For more information please contact: Dr Antonino La Rocca e-mail: antonino.larocca@nottingham.ac.uk



UNITED KINGDOM · CHINA · MALAYSIA

Soot Diagnostics Engine Research Group e-mail: antonino.larocca@nottingham.ac.uk