# The Reactions of Flame Soot with Nitrogen Oxides (NO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>3</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, HONO, HNO<sub>3</sub>): a brief Overview

Michel J. Rossi

Laboratoire de Pollution Atmosphérique et Sol (LPAS), Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland



FÉDÉRALE DE LAUSANNE

Generous Funding by Fonds National Suisse de la Recherche Scientifique (FN), Office de l'Education et de la Science (OFES), Avina Foundation and State Secretariat for Education and Research (SER) of Switzerland

# SOOT

- Definition: Soot is a product of incomplete flame combustion of hydrocarbon fuels at a given value of fuel/oxygen ratio λ (stoichiometric c. at λ = 1.0) Stoichiometric Combustion: C<sub>n</sub>H<sub>2n+2</sub> + (1.5n + 0.5) O<sub>2</sub> → nCO<sub>2</sub> + (n + 1)H<sub>2</sub>O
- Soot = EC (=BC) + Organic Phase (OC)
- Ubiquitous occurrence (on a global level)
- 10-50% of all tropospheric particulate matter is carbonaceous
- Worldwide anthropogenic emissions: 12-24 Tg/yr (Penner, 1998): N.B. Uncertainty!
- Long range transport observed (Arctic Haze)

#### Chemical Model of Soot Structure (Sergides et al., 1987)



# SOOT (cont.)

- The only atmospheric aerosol with a sizable optical absorption in the UV/VIS range
- Remarkable influence on climate (positive radiative forcing), public health (inhalation of nanoparticles) and tropospheric chemistry (reducing properties)
  - Decrease in albedo (SSA) owing to absorption of radiation (short- and longwave radiation)
  - Increase in cloud albedo owing to activation of CCN and decrease in precipitation (Twomey)



Fig. 1. Incremental aerosol optical depth  $\Delta \tau_{aer}$  (0.55  $\mu m$ ), which is used to drive the climate change simulations. Latitude and longitude are denoted.

JJA Surface Temperatures (Hansen, 2002)

Fig. 2. (A) Simulated JJA surface air temperature change ( $\Delta T_{\rm S}$ ) for experiments A and B. The significance of these changes is shown in fig. S2. (B) Observed JJA  $\Delta T_{\rm S}$  between 1951 and 2000, based on the linear trend. Global mean changes are in the upper right corner. SSA = 0.85

No GH Gases!



## Custom-designed Co-Flow Device for reproducible generation of decane (C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>22</sub>) soot: Diffusion Flame



Flame	Flame	Flame	Soot	Air flow	Fuel duct	Soot
$\operatorname{type}$	height	colour	deposition	$[1 min^{-1}]$	(pore $\varnothing$ )	$\operatorname{type}$
	[mm]		$[\mathrm{mg}~\mathrm{min}^{-1}]$		$[\mu m]$	
Decane						
Decane rich	$\sim 60$	orange-red	$2.0{\pm}0.5$	1.2–1.4	17-40	"grey"

#### Control of Soot Production is mandatory in view of its Effects on Kinetics



Figure 6.1.1: Uptake of  $NO_2$  on decane soot as a function of the  $NO_2$  concentrat samples were produced using a simple oil lamp; 4 mm diameter escape orifice, is over 100 seconds, mean sample weight was 17.3 mg.



Figure 6.1.2: Uptake of  $NO_2$  on decane soot as a function of the  $NO_2$  steady state concentration. Soot samples were produced using the new co-flow device; 4 mm diameter escape orifice, integrated over 100 seconds, mean sample weight was 16.4 mg.

#### Unstable flickering flame

Stabilized (controlled) flame CAST or Co-Flow Device

#### Elemental analysis for several types of soot

#### Anticorrelation of H- and O-content of soot depending on $\lambda$ - ratio

		Elemental analysis				
Author	Soot $(\lambda)$	C(% wt)	H(% wt)	N(% wt)	O(% wt)	
Salgado, 2002	hexane (λ=0.82)	94.73 ± 0.15	$1.50 \pm 0.01$	~0	$3.78 \pm 0.16$	
CAST Burner	hexane (λ=0.16)	$93.01\pm0.31$	$0.77\pm0.03$	$0.26 \pm 0.02$	$5.99 \pm 0.36$	
Matter Engineering	hexane (λ=0.09)	$92.03 \pm 0.34$	$0.44\pm0.07$	$0.23\pm0.02$	$7.27 \pm 0.42$	
Stadler, 2000	gray decane soot (rich flame)	$97.27\pm0.05$	<mark>0.83 ± 0.04</mark>	$0.20 \pm 0.18$	$\frac{1.65 \pm 0.19}{1.00}$	
	black decane soot (lean flame)	$96.39\pm0.22$	$0.19 \pm 0.01$	$0.27\pm0.09$	$3.22 \pm 0.25$	
Akhter, 1985	n-hexane	87-92.5	1.2-1.6		6-11	

 $\lambda$  = fuel /O<sub>2</sub> on a per C basis

# Knudsen Flow Reactor: Kinetics, Branching Ratios and Reaction Products using MBMS



- Gas Phase is monitored: Molecular Beam-modulated MS
- Multispecies capabilities: MS and laser-based *in situ* detection techniques
- Relative Rate Technique put on an absolute Basis using measured k<sub>esc</sub> (rate constants for effusion)
- Measurement of gas "uptake" in terms of uptake probabilities (γ)

#### NO<sub>2</sub> Reaction Mechanism for Amorphous Carbon DEGUSSA Materials (Tabor 1994)

- Net reaction: NO<sub>2</sub> + {C} → NO + {C•O}
- γ = 5 x 10<sup>-2</sup>
- Evolution of CO, CO<sub>2</sub> upon heat treatment of soot (incandescent lamp).

 $NO_2 + {SS} \leftarrow \rightarrow {SS \cdot NO_2}p$ Reversible adsorption

NO<sub>2</sub> + {SS} ← → {L} Reversible adsorption to a non-reactive species

 ${SS \cdot NO_2}p \rightarrow {NO_2 \cdot I} + {SS}$ Conversion into an intermediate I (deeper layer)

{NO<sub>2</sub>•I} → {NO<sub>2</sub>•R} Transfer to reservoir R

{NO<sub>2</sub>•l} → NO + {C•O} Decomposition to NO and a surface oxygen complex {C•O}.

### Correlation between NO<sub>2</sub> and HONO for reaction of NO<sub>2</sub> on gray (rich flame) decane soot (Stadler 2000)



Figure 6.2.1: Uptake experiment of NO<sub>2</sub> on 'grey' decane soot; sample mass = 16.3 mg, 4 mm diameter escape orifice, NO<sub>2</sub> concentration =  $8.3 \times 10^{12}$  molecule cm<sup>-3</sup>.

#### Suggested Reaction Mechanism for HONO Formation on rich Flame Soot

- NO + NO<sub>2</sub> + M → N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> + M gas phase reaction
- N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> + H<sub>2</sub>O → 2HONO heterogeneous reaction
- $2NO_2 + H_2O \rightarrow HONO + HNO_3$ Too slow
- NO<sub>2</sub> + {C-H}<sub>red</sub> → HONO + {C-}<sub>ox</sub>
- $NO_2 + H_2O \rightarrow HONO + OH$

Gerecke GRL 1998

Blue Flame

Flame top Above flame

Standard spot

 $\Delta H_r^0 = 40$  kcal/mol (est.)

Yields of HONO and NO are position dependent and complementary (anticorrelated) in ethylene flame



<sup>a</sup> The relative yields  $\Phi$  in % (per NO<sub>2</sub> taken up) are integrated over 50s.

Mass/mg

Ż

## CONCLUSIONS: NO<sub>2</sub> - Soot

- Reaction products depend on type of soot unlike kinetics ( $\gamma$ ).
- Reaction occurs with reducing surface functional groups on substrate, NOT CATALYTIC decomposition.
- X-tremes: 100% NO on amorphous carbon FW2 (channel black)
  100% HONO on hexane, decane soot from rich flame
- Both carbon-matrix as well as semivolatile organic fraction of soot partake in heterogeneous chemical reaction.
- HONO decomposes on (black) soot from lean combustion to yield NO as a final product:  $2HONO \rightarrow NO + NO_2 + H_2O$ .
- Complex surface reaction mechanism: inhibition and competition.

## $NO_3$ / gray (rich flame) decane soot $N_2O_5 \rightarrow NO_3 + NO_2$

Kinetics and Reaction Products have been investigated in Knudsen flow reactor under molecular flow conditions using in situ REMPI detection of NO, NO<sub>2</sub>



(a) m/e 30, (b) m/e 46, (c) raw REMPI signal for NO<sub>2</sub> detection at  $\lambda$  = 511 nm scaled to a MS signal at m/e 46, (d) m/e 62, (e) m/e 47, (f) m/e 63



#### **Reaction Mechanism for NO<sub>3</sub> + decane soot**

Source (N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> thermal dec.)  $\rightarrow$  NO<sub>3</sub> (25%) + NO<sub>2</sub> (75%)

 $NO_3 + \{C\} \rightarrow NO + \{C \cdot O_2\} (12 - 17\%)$ 

Most of the lost NO<sub>3</sub> remains adsorbed on soot

 $NO_2 + \{NO_3\} \rightarrow N_2O_5 \text{ (20-24\%)} (+ H_2O \rightarrow 2HNO_3)$ 

Rich (gray) Flame Soot:

 $NO_2 + \{C-H\}_{red} \rightarrow HONO + \{C-\}_{ox} (\approx 100\%)$ 

Lean (black) Flame Soot:

 $\{2HONO\} \rightarrow \{H_2O\} + NO + NO_2 (5\%)$  (A lot of NO<sub>2</sub> remains adsorbed!)

- RED: genuine NO<sub>3</sub> reaction
- BLUE: complication as a consequence of the presence of  $NO_2$ .

### Steady State Uptake Coefficient for NO<sub>3</sub> on decane soot: extrapolation to ambient concentrations enabled by known rate law



Uptake coefficient  $\gamma_{ss}$  of NO<sub>3</sub> as a function of [NO<sub>3</sub>] (orifice diameter = 8 mm): NO<sub>3</sub> on black (full triangles) and gray soot (open circles).

## CONCLUSIONS: NO<sub>3</sub> - Soot

- Most of lost NO<sub>3</sub> remains adsorbed on soot
- Yield of NO is 12 (gray) -17 % (black) soot
- Adsorbed NO<sub>3</sub> leads to  $N_2O_5$  formation in the gas phase with excess NO<sub>2</sub>
- Small yield of HNO<sub>3</sub>
- Large  $\gamma @ [NO_3] \rightarrow 0$  (ambient conditions: hundred ppt at night)
- Renoxification mechanism:  $NO_y \rightarrow NO_x$
- Soot substrate is partaking in the reaction

## **Reaction Mechanism:** N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> + Decane Soot

- $N_2O_5 + \{C\} \rightarrow NO + NO_2 + \{C \cdot O_2\}$  Redox reaction
- N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> + {H<sub>2</sub>O} → 2 HNO<sub>3</sub> heterogeneous
  Hydrolysis reaction surprisingly SLOW!

Mechanism:

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{N}_2\mathsf{O}_{5(ads)} + \{\mathsf{C}\} &\to \mathsf{N}_2\mathsf{O}_{3(ads)} + \{\mathsf{C}\text{-}\mathsf{O}_2\} \\ \mathsf{N}_2\mathsf{O}_{3(ads)} + &\to \mathsf{NO} + \mathsf{NO}_2 \\ \text{equimolar amounts of NO and } \mathsf{NO}_2 \\ \text{approaching 100\% at low concentration} \end{split}$$

### Steady State Uptake Coefficient for N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> on Decane Soot: Importance of Rate Law !!!



Uptake coefficient  $\gamma_{ss}$  of N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> as a function of [N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>]: N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> on black (circles) and gray soot (open squares). For all measurements we used the 8 mm orifice diameter except for the point marked by the arrow where a 4 mm orifice diameter has been used.

# CONCLUSIONS: N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> - Soot

- Hydrolysis (HNO<sub>3</sub>) and Redox (NO) reaction are concurrent and depend on the type of soot. Redox reaction occurs until exhaustion of redox reactive sites.
- Reaction products are equimolar NO + NO<sub>2</sub>. Relative yields depend on type of carbon substrate. No NO<sub>3</sub> observed in the gas phase.
- Renoxification Mechanism, soot substrate is reacting
- Large  $\gamma$  value (roughly 10 times lower than for NO<sub>3</sub>)

#### Reaction Mechanism for HNO<sub>3</sub> + Soot

- $2HNO_3 \rightarrow \{N_2O_5\}$
- $\{N_2O_5\} \rightarrow \{NO_2\} + \{NO_3\}$

{HONO} → HONO

•  $HNO_3 \rightarrow \{C-H\}_{red} \rightarrow \{HONO\} + \{C \cdot O_2\}_{ox}$ 

#### Hypothesis

Surface decomposition

Reduction of  $HNO_3$  on rich flame (gray) soot ( $NO_2$ !!) gray soot (rich combustion)

• 2{HONO}  $\rightarrow$  {H<sub>2</sub>O} + {N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>}  $\rightarrow$  NO + {NO<sub>2</sub>} black soot (lean combustion)

#### In addition:

۲

- NO + {HNO<sub>3</sub>}  $\rightarrow$  HONO + {NO<sub>2</sub>}
- HNO<sub>3</sub> + {HONO} → 2{NO<sub>2</sub>}+ {H<sub>2</sub>O}
- ${NO_2} + {C-H}_{red} \rightarrow NO + {C}_{ox}$

gray soot (rich or stoichiometric flame): Supports adsorbed HNO<sub>3</sub> High surface coverage: Supports adsorbed HONO secondary reaction of NO<sub>2</sub>

## CONCLUSIONS: HNO<sub>3</sub> - Soot

- Reaction products depend on type of soot
- X-tremes: amorphous carbon FW2 (channel black), lean flame soot (decane): NO, small amounts of NO<sub>2</sub>.

hexane, decane soot from rich flame: HONO exclusively.

Evidence for adsorbed HNO<sub>3</sub>, HONO. N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>??

more NO<sub>v</sub>.

• Renoxification – atmospheric significance: ratio  $[NO_y] / [NO_x]$  or  $[HNO_3] / [NO_x]$  is overpredicted by photochemical transport (CRT) models. Models "need"