Latest developments in the chemistry of nanoparticles

Johannes Schneider

Particle Chemistry Department, Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, Mainz, Germany

The interest in the chemical composition of nanoparticles (particles with diameters smaller than 50 nm) has increased considerably in the recent years, mainly due to two reasons: Firstly, particles with diameters between 10 and 100 nm can penetrate deep into the lungs and are most efficiently deposited in the alveolae. Especially combustion-generated particles which are mainly composed of water-insoluble material are thought to affect human health.Secondly, atmospheric particle formation via gas-to-particle conversion leads to so-called nucleation events with very high particle concentrations. The sources of the precursor gases can either be anthropogenic (sulfuric acid, low-volatile organics) or natural. The natural precursor gases are mainly dicarboxylic acids formed by reaction of vegetation emissions (e.g., terpenes) with ozone or atmospheric radicals.



Sources of nanoparticles in the atmosphere

To understand the mechanisms of particle formation, knowledge of the chemical composition of these newly formed particles is essential. During the last ten years, new methods have been developed in order to investigate the chemistry of nanoparticles. The major problem for chemical analysis of small particles is that particle mass is proportional to the third power of the particle diameter. The mass of a 10 nm particle is by a factor of 1 Million smaller than the mass of a 1 μ m particle. Thus, chemical analysis of small particles requires a very high sensitivity of the instruments, a challenge that is accompanied by the difficulties in sampling nanoparticles with respect to diffusion losses and particle evaporation.

Newly developed instruments

The Aerodyne AMS

The Aerodyne Aerosol Mass Spectrometer (AMS) combines aerodynamic focusing and sizing with thermal evaporation, ion impact ionization and analysis of the ions in either a quadruple mass spectrometer (Q-AMS, *Jayne et al.*, 2000) or a Time-of-Flight MS (ToF-AMS, *Drewnick et al.*, 2005). These instruments can quantitatively determine the aerosol mass concentrations as a function of size, but their sensitivity to nanoparticles is limited to particles with d > 30 nm and are therefore only partly suited for nanoparticle analysis. The Q-AMS has

been used to study the composition of nucleation particles in diesel exhaust (e.g., *Schneider et al.*, 2005).

The RSMS-3

The RSMS (Rapid Single Particle Mass Spectrometer, *Bein et al.*, 2005) is a laser ablation single particle instrument that overcomes the problem of the small particle size by preselecting the particle size with a combination of inlet orifices and a randomly firing ablation laser. With this technique, chemical composition of single particles was reported also in the nanoparticle size range (size classes at 30 and 40 nm).

The TDCIMS

The Thermal Desorption Chemical Ionization Mass Spectrometry (TDCIMS) was developed for real time, quantitative chemical analysis of ultrafine particles (*Voisin et al.*, 2003). The technique combines nanoparticle separation by differential mobility analysis (DMA), collection of the charged particles on an electrostatic precipitator, and highly sensitive chemical analysis provided by selected ion chemical ionization mass spectrometry. Sensitivity tests using laboratory-generated ammonium sulfate particles in the diameter range between 10 and 16 nm showed that sulfate and ammonium can be quantified with as little as 1 pg of collected aerosol mass.

The NAMS

Recently, *Wang and Johnston* (2006) introduced the Nano Aerosol Mass Spectrometer (NAMS) which uses a linear quadrupole behind an aerodynamic lens inlet system in order to guide charged aerosol particles into an ion trap, where the particles are trapped like large ions and subsequently ablated and ionized with a laser pulse. The ions are analyzed in a time-of-flight mass spectrometer. With this instrument, mass spectra of individual aerosol particles in the size range between 7 and 25 nm were obtained.

References

- Bein, K. J., Y. Zhao, A. S. Wexler, and M. V. Johnston (2005), Speciation of size-resolved individual ultrafine particles in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, J. Geophys. Res., 110, D07S05, doi:10.1029/2004JD004708.
- Drewnick, F., S. S. Hings, P. DeCarlo, J. T. Jayne, M. Gonin, K. Fuhrer, S. Weimer, J. L. Jimenez, K. L. Demerjian, S. Borrmann, D. R. Worsnop (2005), A new Time-of-Flight Aerosol Mass Spectrometer (TOF-AMS) Instrument Description and First Field Deployment, *Aerosol Sci. Technol.*, 39, 637-658.
- Jayne, J. T., D. C. Leard, X. Zhang, P. Davidovits, K. A. Smith, C. E. Kolb, and D. R. Worsnop (2000), Development of an aerosol mass spectrometer for size and composition analysis of submicron particles, *Aerosol Sci. Technol.*, 33, 49-70.
- Schneider, J., N. Hock, S. Weimer, S. Borrmann, U. Kirchner, R. Vogt, and V. Scheer (2005), Nucleation particles in Diesel exhaust: Composition inferred from in-situ mass spectrometric analysis, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 39, 6153-6161.
- Voisin, D., J. N. Smith, H. Sakurai, P. H. McMurry, and F. L. Eisele (2003), Thermal Desorption Chemical Ionization Mass Spectrometer for Ultrafine Particle Chemical Composition, *Aerosol Sci. Technol.*, 37, 471–475.
- Wang, S., and M. V. Johnston (2006), Airborne nanoparticle characterization with a digital ion trapreflectron time of flight mass spectrometer, *Int. J. Mass Spec.*, doi:10.1016/j.ijms.2006.07.001.





Latest developments in the chemistry of nanoparticles

Johannes Schneider

Particle Chemistry Department Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, Mainz, Germany

10th ETH-Conference on Combustion Generated Nanoparticles August 21 - 23, 2006





Chemistry of nanoparticles:

Searching the ISI Web of Science for "chemistry AND nanoparticles" yielded 1579 hits (between 1992 and 2006).





Outline



- Introduction to atmospheric nanoparticles
 - General
 - Sources of atmospheric nanoparticles
 - Observations of nanoparticles
 - Open questions
 - Measurement problems
- Recent instrumental developments and results with respect to chemical composition
 - AMS
 - RSMS
 - TDCIMS
 - NAMS
- Summary and Outlook







Kittelson, D., et al., SAE technical paper series, 2000–01-2212.





- agglomerates of primary particles ($d_{pp} \cong 10 50 \text{ nm}$)
- Usually found in the accumulation mode
- Not subject of this presentation



Particle from biomass burning (beech)





Sources of nanoparticles in the atmosphere



Growth of nuclei by condensation of natural or anthropogenic substances











Chemistry:

Sulfur species:	
$H_2SO_4 + H_2O \rightarrow Particles$	binary nucleation
$H_2SO_4 + H_2O + NH_3 \rightarrow Particles$	ternary nucleation





Observations: Nucleation event





Observations: Nucleation event

Max-Planck-Institut

für Chemie

(Otto-Hahn-Institut)







Observations: Laboratory





Anthropogenic precursor gas:

1,3,5-TMB/NO_x/C₃H₆ (650 ppb, 320 ppb, 300 ppb initial mixing ratios) at 50% RH;

Natural precursor gas:

 α -pinene/NO_x/C₃H₆ (160 ppb, 130 ppb, 300 ppb initial mixing ratios) at 50% RH.

Baltensperger et al., Faraday Discuss., 2005



Observations: Diesel exhaust



Individual car chasing on test track: Nanoparticle formation in diesel exhaust with high fuel sulfur content under high load





Open questions:

- Organic mass concentrations needed in laboratory experiments to trigger nucleation are much higher than found in ambient atmosphere
- Which chemicals trigger the nucleation? (Organics or H₂SO₄? Binary or ternary? Natural or anthropogenic sources?)
- Which gaseous substances condense on the nuclei and lead to particle growth?
- Can we distinguish between natural and anthropogenic sources?





Measurement problems:

- Particle mass is very small : mass of a 10 nm particle (1 g/cm³): 5x10⁻¹⁹ g
- Sampling problem: diffusion losses
- Off-line methods: Long sampling times
 - → low time resolution (nanoparticle events occur on short time scales)
 → loss of volatile substances from substrate
 → condensation of gas-phase compounds on filter
 → chemical reactions of unstable compounds
- → On-line methods preferred for analysis of atmospheric nanoparticles





Recently developed on-line methods

(on-line: analyis of particles directly upon sampling)

Aerosol mass spectrometers

- AMS (Q, ToF) (Aerodyne Inc.)
- RSMS-3 (A. Wexler, U California)
- TDCIMS (J. Smith, NCAR)
- NAMS (M. Johnston, U Delaware)





Aerodyne Aerosol Mass Spectrometers (Q-AMS, ToF-AMS)







Aerodyne AMS: Aerodynamic lens transmission curve







Q-AMS Results



Nanoparticles in diesel exhaust (chassis dynamometer)



Schneider et al., *ES&T*, 2005



Q-AMS Results



Nucleation event observed at Pittsburgh, Sept. 2002



Zhang et al., *ES&T*, 2006



Q-AMS Results





Nucleation event observed during the QUEST 2 project (March/April 2003, Hyytiälä, Finland)

Particles below 100 nm consist mainly of organics

sulfate

Allan et al., ACP, 2006

20





RSMS-3, Rapid Single Particle Mass Spectrometer



Bein, Zhao, Wexler, Johnston, JGR, 2005







smallest two size channels include nanoparticles But: "no true size distribution"

Bein, Zhao, Wexler, Johnston, JGR, 2005



RSMS-3 Results



Pittsburgh, Sept. 01 – Sept. 02







Thermal Desorption Chemical Ionization Mass Spectrometry (TDCIMS)





TDCIMS-Results



Aerosol Nucleation and Real-time Characterization Experiment, Atlanta, 2002





TDCIMS-Results





No other compounds identified during nucleation events Ammonium and sulfate are essential \rightarrow ternary H₂SO₄/H₂O/NH₃ nucleation





NAMS (NanoAerosol Mass Spectrometer)



Wang and Johnston, IJMS, in press, 2006



NAMS Results





- Mass normalized diameters between 7 and 25 nm
- Single particle analysis
- Size selection with ion trap
- No field data reported yet (new development)

Wang and Johnston, IJMS, in press, 2006











- Atmospheric nanoparticles are typically composed of sulfate, ammonium and organics.
- Depending on the location and the sources, either of these components can dominate the composition.
- When SO₄ and NH₄ dominate, nucleation is most likely triggered by H₂SO₄/H₂O/NH₃ ternary nucleation.
- When organics dominate, the nucleating agent is not clear. The amount of $H_2SO_4/H_2O/NH_3$ that is needed to form the primary nucleus may be too small to be detected by the instruments.





- Laboratory experiments are not yet able to reproduce atmospheric organic nucleation under realistic atmospheric conditions → indication of additional nucleating agent in atmosphere (H₂SO₄?)
- Diesel exhaust nanoparticle formation has been shown to be triggered by sulfuric acid.
- Recent developments (TDCIMS, NAMS) have high potential to reveal further insight into nanoparticle chemistry.
- Some problems still exist:
 - Thermal desorption instruments are not sensitive to all chemical components (refractory material)
 - Laser ablation not quantitative (for molecules)





Thank you for your attention.

