Triggered by a widespread non-compliance with European air quality standards along major roads Berlin introduced a low emission zone (LEZ) in two stages covering a central city area of 85 km² with more than 1.1 Mio residents, delimited by the local railway ring.

Based on a German national vehicle labelling scheme all diesel vehicles not meeting Euro 2 and petrol cars worse than Euro 1 were banned as from January 2008 from driving within the zone. In January 2010 the criteria were tightened in that Euro 4, or retrofit with particle filters (DPF), became mandatory for diesel vehicles, including passenger cars and commercial vehicles.

Environmental criteria in Berlin’s LEZ

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental criteria for Berlin’s low emission zone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All vehicles (passenger cars, LGVs and HGVs) willing to enter the low emission zone ...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>in stage I as from 1.1.2008</th>
<th>need a red, yellow or green label on the window screen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>i.e. at least pollution class 2 of the national labelling scheme</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This corresponds as a minimum:
- **for Diesel-vehicles** to Euro 2 or Euro 1 + particle filter
- **for petrol vehicles** to Euro 1 with a catalytic converter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>in stage II as from 1.1.2010</th>
<th>need a green label,</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>i.e. at least pollution class 4 of the national labelling scheme</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This corresponds as a minimum
- **for Diesel-vehicles** to Euro 4 or Euro 3 + particle filter
- **for petrol vehicles** to Euro 1 with a catalytic converter

After more than two years in force the real impact of the LEZ on
- traffic flows within and around the zone
- the emission characteristics of the registered vehicle fleet and of the vehicles on the roads
- vehicle emissions
- on the air quality within and outside of the zone

was analysed using traffic data, Berlin's vehicle registration data base, conducting extra video recordings at representative spots of the main road network and evaluating air quality monitoring data, including black and organic carbon.

While the LEZ has had no measurable impact on traffic flows, the turnover of the vehicle fleet towards more cleaner vehicles has speeded up considerably only because of the LEZ. Accordingly, almost three-third of all diesel passenger cars have got a green sticker (at least Euro 4 or retrofit), while in the absence of the LEZ, estimated on the basis of the long-term renewal trend of the vehicle fleet, this number would be still well below 50%. Likewise, more than 50% of commercial vehicles comply with the green category instead of only 20% in the event of no LEZ. Mostly driven by the LEZ, stage 2, 40.000 (24%) diesel passenger cars and 12.000 lorries (17%) have been retrofitted with a particle filter since the end of 2009.
Taking the observed vehicle composition before and after the launch of the LEZ as a basis, it could be calculated how vehicle exhaust emissions have changed due to the LEZ. The blue bars in Figure 1 (right for particle exhaust emissions, left for NOx emissions) depict the total emissions in 2008 and 2009 in the absence of the LEZ assuming a long-term average turnover of the vehicle fleet. The red and yellow bar represents the real situation with the LEZ in place. As a result of the LEZ exhaust particle emissions dropped by 35% or by more than 100 t/a in absolute terms. NOx emissions also fell by 19% or almost 1500 t/a.

In order to identify any impact of the LEZ in the air quality data it is not sufficient to simply compare concentrations or excess days of certain limit values before and after the enforcement of the LEZ. Changes in weather conditions relevant for dispersion, dilution and re-suspension of emitted pollutants from traffic and other sources also have a large impact on measured pollution levels irrespective of any changes in the emissions. While NO2-levels are largely dominated by local emission sources, total PM concentrations also depend on regional and long-range pollution transport. Likewise, any shift in traffic volumes around the air quality monitoring sites used for the impact analysis needed to be taken into account as such changes are barely related to the LEZ.

In order to better retrieve the net benefit of the LEZ from the air quality data, the results of a PM2.5 source apportionment study in 2007, one year before the launch of the LEZ, was taken as a basis to translate the calculated emission reduction into a numerical decrease of the PM10 concentration measured at a traffic site in Berlin’s city centre. The pie chart in Figure 2 depicts the percentages major sources contribute to total annual mean PM2.5 levels at this traffic site. The pollution from outside sources (in green), from non-transport emissions in Berlin (in blue) and from the non-exhaust PM-emissions by vehicles (in grey) cannot be mitigated by the traffic ban enforced by the LEZ. Only 14% of PM2.5 at the kerbside stems from exhaust particle emissions of the urban traffic in Berlin and another 8% appears as secondary inorganic PM from urban NOx-emissions from traffic, both of which are the only parts of the PM2.5 mixture affected by the LEZ. While absolute concentrations of pollutants strongly depend on the meteorological conditions, the relative contribution of the source sectors, like those shown in the pie chart below, should be less prone to weather changes. Hence, when using the source apportionment results of 2007 as a key to transpose the LEZ-related emission reduction into equivalent pollution reduction figures, the emerging results should be fairly representative also for other years with a different meteorology.

Assuming linearity between emission reduction and the resulting decrease of the pollution concentration, the two LEZ-related parts of the PM2.5 pie would shrink by the percentage decrease of the traffic emissions mentioned above. As a result, PM2.5 concentrations would be 6.4% lower, if the LEZ was introduced in 2007, when the source apportionment study was conducted. Given a 70% share of PM2.5 in kerbside PM10, the net reduction of PM10 levels by the end of 2009 amounts to 4.5%. Based on a statistical relation between annual mean levels and the number of excess days of the 24h PM10 limit value of 50 µg/m³, about 6 of such excess days can be prevented by the LEZ assuming the boundary conditions of the year 2007.
Figure 1: NOx emissions (left) and exhaust particle emissions (right) for trend assumptions and for the LEZ.

Figure 2: Estimation of the LEZ impact on PM2.5/PM10 by applying the calculated LEZ-related emission reduction on the parts of total PM2.5 level, which can be traced back to traffic exhaust emissions.

Total carbon data were analysed hoping that the effect of lower diesel soot emissions due to the LEZ could be easier seen in those data series. Such measurements were conducted at more than 20 spots within and outside of the LEZ. In order to largely exclude the impact of changes in other carbon source sectors, like house heating, the carbon levels at a downtown urban background station were subtracted from the concentrations measured at the busy traffic spot in the city centre. The resulting local traffic increment was adjusted for any traffic volume changes, so as to become largely independent of traffic flow changes not related to the LEZ. So, in comparison to 2007, total carbon levels decreased steeply in 2008 (the first year with the LEZ) by more than 25% inside and 20% outside of the LEZ even though atmospheric dispersion conditions worsened in 2008. Dispersion conditions were assessed by choosing low wind speed frequency and radon concentrations as a proxy for the dispersion of fine particles and NO2 in the boundary layer.

2009 saw almost no continuation of the downward trend, which may be largely due to the increase in days with stagnant dispersion conditions, i.e. with a surge in low wind speed situations by one-third associated also in 10% higher radon levels. Hence, the measured improvement in total carbon levels could be largely linked to the implementation of the LEZ. Traffic adjusted city-generated NO2-concentrations also decrease by 8%, the first decrease since several years. However, concentration levels slightly rose again in 2009. While this feature might also be explained by the unfavourable dispersion conditions in 2009, there was also growing suspicion that continuously regenerating particulate filter (CRT) systems could actually increase the share of NO2 in the exhaust gas released by retrofitted vehicles. Fortunately, a balance sheet calculation for Berlin’s Diesel fleet revealed, that the net effect of stage 2 of the LEZ on NO2 is still positive, because all vehicles already equipped with an oxidation catalyst (i.e. most cars, vans and light goods vehicles) will emit less NO2 after being retrofitted with a particle filter. Another reason is that NO-emissions of modern vehicles since Euro 3 have fallen so drastically that NO2 concentrations in a typical street canyon, about 60% of which are formed from former NO, will eventually decrease even though the share of NO2 emissions has gone up.

In conclusion, the LEZ is the most effective single measure in Berlin, provided that ambitious emission criteria (i.e. particle emissions of Euro 4) are required within a reasonably short time scale (i.e. by 2010), not watered down by extensive granting of exemptions for residents and business. Nevertheless, in order to be proportionate, a transition period is needed be-
between the adoption and practical implementation of a LEZ so that business and car drivers can adapt. Furthermore, a LEZ area needs to be large enough in order to generate the expected effect on the renewal rate of the vehicle fleet and in order to avoid detrimental affects in adjacent areas by undesired traffic re-routing generated by the LEZ.

However, implementation of the LEZ and all the additional measures stipulated by Berlin’s Clean Air Plan still leaves a compliance gap, even if we take advantage of the prolongation of the attainment period offered by the revised EU air quality legislation. So, the LEZ needs to be supplemented by further action, like traffic planning measures on the local level and stricter vehicle emission standards by the EU. Current standards like the Euro 5 emission limit for passenger cars and light duty vehicles fall short with respect to the NOx emission reduction needed to attain the NO2 limit values even within the extra 5 years period granted by the new air quality Directive. Tangible progress can only be expected with Euro 6 becoming mandatory, because it’s NOx emission standard will require auto industry to install efficient NOx control technology in every Diesel car and lorry, so that both NO- and NO2 emissions will drop drastically. However, Euro 6 will only become mandatory in 2014, definitely too late to help meeting the NO2 air quality standards by 2015 at the very latest. The brand-new Euro VI emission standard for heavy goods vehicles and buses, due for 2013, will be barely helpful too.

Unfortunately, contrary to the particle filter systems, retrofit kits for NO2-control of the existing vehicle stock will not be available for passenger cars and light goods vehicles. So, progress on urban NO2 levels largely depends on the ambition of national governments in subsidising the purchase or the operation of Euro 6/VI vehicles so that they would appear earlier in showrooms and on the roads. At least for buses and heavy goods vehicles retrofit with de-NOx SCR technology seems to be technically feasible. SCRT filter systems for retrofitting buses have been developed recently. Berlin will launch very soon a pilot project, in which different types of Diesel buses will be retrofitted with such systems and emissions be monitored under typical real-word driving condition. Provided that functioning and efficiency of these SCRT retrofit systems can be demonstrated a retrofit program will be started aimed at reducing substantially NO- and NO2 emissions of Berlin’s fleet of about 1400 buses.

However, given the lessons learnt five years ago during the preparatory phase of the LEZ, cleaning up only a small segment of the whole vehicle fleet will not be sufficient to generate a tangible improvement of the air quality, here of NO2-pollution. Hence, the EU Commission, national governments and industry ought to come up with a coherent concept to ensure fast development and dissemination of SCRT retrofit for larger commercial vehicles in Europe. What is still lacking with regard to particulate filters should be pursued as soon as possible: Setting up a harmonized framework for technical certification of SCRT filter systems on EU level, combined with economic incentives, such as discounts on vehicle taxes and road tolls, for retrofitted lorries and trucks on a national scale.
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Assessing compliance

PM10

Number of days in excess of the 24h-limit value of 50 µg/m³ for PM10 in Berlin

*mean May09-April10
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Introduction

Source analysis

Origin of kerbside PM2.5 and NO2 in Berlin

Sources of roadside PM2.5 pollution

- Local traffic: 9%
- Resuspension + abrasion by traffic: 4%
- Road transport: 7%
- Resuspension + abrasion by traffic: 2%
- Combustion in manufacturing industry: 9%
- Production processes: 2%
- Solvent and other product use: 5%
- Non-industrial combustion: 3%
- Urban background: 32%
- Large-scale background: 0%
- Resuspension + abrasion by traffic: 1%
- Other sources: 4%
- Waste treatment and disposal: 0%
- Other mobile sources and machinery: 4%
- Additional combustion: 1%
- Combustion in manufacturing industry: 0%
- Combustion in energy and transformation industries: 1%
- Production processes: 1%
- Other sources: 11%
- Agriculture: 4%
- Homemade vehicle tailpipe contribution: 12%

Sources of roadside NO2 pollution

- Regional background: 7%
- Other sources: 11%
- Non-industrial combustion: 10%
- Non-industrial combustion: 9%
- Production processes: 0%
- Combustion in energy and transformation industries: 12%
- Combustion in manufacturing industry: 3%
- Other sources: 11%
- Agriculture: 4%
- Homemade vehicle tailpipe contribution: 3%

Basis: NOx modelling
Problems in Berlin...
- again (after 2 years compliance) excess of PM10 standards
- widespread excess of NO2 (up to 50%) in central main roads
- local scale traffic restrictions merely shift problem in other roads
- short-term temporary traffic restrictions not effective during pollution episodes
- previous measures insufficient
  - modernisation of municipal fleet,
  - funding scheme for CNG-vehicles
  - shift to clean transport modes by traffic planning

Solution for wide-spread traffic-related pollution...
- LEZ: selective traffic ban for high polluting vehicles
  - large-scale: not only in single roads but covering the whole (potential) non-attainment area
  - durable: not only on days in excess of 24h-limit value
  - transition period (Berlin > 2 ½ years) prior to the start
    - ensures proportionality
    - Berlin: no general exemptions for residents and commercial traffic
    - some individual temporal exemptions possible
Stage 1: since 1.1.2008
- Diesel vehicles: at least Euro 2 or Euro 1 & retrofit
- Gasoline vehicles: at least Euro 1
- 7% of vehicle fleet affected

Stage 2: since 1.1.2010
- Diesel: Particle emission Euro 4:
  - cars: Euro 3 + particle filter or better
  - goods vehicles: also retrofit of Euro 1-3 towards Euro 4
- 10% of the vehicle fleet affected

Area:
about 88 km²
(Berlin total area: 892 km²)

Inhabitants:
about 1 Million
(Berlin total: 3.4 Mio)

More than 40 LEZ planned/in force in Germany, but with different emission criteria
Stage 2: Free entry only with green sticker
affected vehicles 2010:
(according to registration data base of 1. January 2010)

- Diesel Passenger cars:
  - 14,000 PC (7%) with red sticker → can barely be retrofitted to
  - 60,000 PC (30%) with yellow sticker → can be retrofitted to

- commercial Diesel vehicles:
  - 10,000 LDV/HDV (12%) with red sticker → can be partly retrofitted to
  - 25,000 LDV/HDV (30%) with yellow sticker → can be retrofitted to

affected vehicles in total: ca. 124,000
by mid 2010: 25% Diesel PC & 18% LGV/HGV retrofitted!
40% of Diesel PC have a DPF with 60% closed systems
1. impact on traffic flows?
   - has road traffic decreased within the LEZ?
   - has road traffic been re-routed to areas outside the LEZ?
   - has road traffic been avoided?

   monitoring of traffic flows

2. effect on the vehicle fleet composition?
   - change in the characteristic of the registered vehicle fleet?
   - change in the real fleet on the roads in & outside the LEZ?

   evaluation of vehicle registration data base
   monitoring of real vehicle fleet

3. impact on the pollution emissions from road traffic?

   calculation of the exhaust emissions
   comparision with default fleet and situation before/after LEZ

4. impact on the air quality?

   evaluation of the routine air quality monitoring data: PM10, PM2.5, NO, NO2, NOx
   evaluation of extra AQ measurements: PM-species (EC, OC, sec. PM, passive samplers)
   dispersion modelling with LEZ-related emission reduction (not yet done)
impact of the LEZ on traffic volumes in the city

impact on traffic

trend of traffic volumes 2002-2008 inside and outside of the low emission zone
2002 = 100%

Conclusions

• general decrease of traffic volumes 2002 und 2009.
• stringer decrease outside LEZ
• larger reduction between 2007 und 2008 is LEZ-independent
• no visible traffic deviation due to LEZ
Share of registered vehicles with green sticker

Positive impact of Berlin's LEZ on the registered vehicle fleet

- **all cars**
  - LEZ stage 1: 85% → 90%
  - LEZ stage 2: 92%

- **Diesel cars**
  - LEZ stage 1: 19% → 20%
  - LEZ stage 2: 31%

- **goods vehicles**
  - LEZ stage 1: 1% → 17%
  - LEZ stage 2: 25%

Impact on fleet composition
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**Berlin LEZ – real impact analysis**

impact on fleet composition

**before-after comparison of the fleet composition at Frankfurter Allee**

Fleet characteristic at Frankfurter Allee based on number plate recognition before and after introduction of Berlin’s LEZ in 2008/9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Feb 07</th>
<th>Sep 08</th>
<th>Sep 09</th>
<th>Trend 09</th>
<th>Feb 07</th>
<th>Sep 08</th>
<th>Sep 09</th>
<th>Trend 09</th>
<th>Feb 07</th>
<th>Sep 08</th>
<th>Sep 09</th>
<th>Trend 09</th>
<th>Feb 07</th>
<th>Sep 08</th>
<th>Sep 09</th>
<th>Trend 09</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pass. Cars Diesel</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGV Diesel</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HGV 3,5 - 7,5 t</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HGV &gt; 7,5</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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LEZ impact: change of NOx emissions from road traffic
based on fleet composition at Frankfurter Allee (new emission factor data base HBEFa 3.1)

status of Sept 09
LEZ impact: change of particle exhaust emissions

based on fleet composition at Frankfurter Allee (new emission factor database HBEFa 3.1)

emissions extrapolated to the entire main road network based on the fleet composition at Frankfurter Allee (without DPF retrofit, only warm emissions, no cold start impact)
identifying the impact on the air quality

Problems:
- strong dependency of pollution concentrations on weather conditions
- additional dependency of emissions and pollution levels on local traffic conditions

approach:
- evaluation of routine air quality data
  - differentiation kerbside – urban background – periphery
  - evaluation of additional PM speciation data, e.g. black carbon
  - taking account of changes in the traffic volumes
  - compare with trend in dispersion related meteo parameters
- using the results of a source apportionment study on PM2.5 in 2007 and model results for NO2-source analysis
  - applying the calculated reduction of PM10- & NOx- emissions on the measured & modelled %-contribution of traffic to total pollution levels
LEZ – real impact analysis

Based on the results of the PM2.5-source apportionment in a main road in Berlin’s city centre

Applying the emission reduction of the LEZ

- 35% EC & OC

- 4.9% PM2.5

- 19% NOx

- 1.5% PM2.5

Σ = - 6.4% PM2.5

Related to PM10:

- 4.5% PM10 (70% PM2.5 in PM10)

The pie chart shows:

- Soot + organic material from vehicle exhaust in Berlin 14%
- Secondary particles from NOX-emissionen from traffic in Berlin 8%
- Resuspension + abrasion from traffic in Berlin 7%
- Other sources in Berlin 15%
- Other sources outside Berlin 47%
- Traffic outside Berlin 9%

Largely independent from traffic and meteo changes
traffic-adjusted trend of the local traffic increment of total carbon concentrations in main roads in and outside of the LEZ

LEZ in force

-28%

4.8 4.4 5.1 5.4 4.0 3.5 3.4 3.9

share of situations with low wind speed <2.4m/s, 2007=100
Radon levels 2007=100%
average over 10 passive samplers inside of the LEZ
average over 12 passive samplers outside of the LEZ

annual total carbon mean (EC+1.2*OC) in µg/m³

80% 100% 120% 140% 160% 180% 200% 220%
dispersion-related parameters, 2007=100%

103% 100% 100% 103% 114%

112% 118% 131% 129% 126%

2005 2006 2007 2008 2009
traffic adjusted trend of Berlin's contribution to NO2- levels in main roads in and outside of the low emission zone

urban contribution = kerbside levels-upwind levels at city periphery (ca 10 -12 µg/m³)
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Berlin LEZ stage 2

Impact of DPF retrofit on NO2

Potential change in direct emissions of NO2 due to DPF retrofit because of missing need for NO2-neutrality in German regulations

Existing evidence…

😍 Diesel passenger cars/light duty vehicles with oxidation catalyst:

👉 Reduction of direct NO2-emissions by about 30%

😠 Large commercial vehicles without OxiCat:

👉 Large variation depending on the DPF-system:
   from NO2-neutral to 8%-40% NO2-accumulation in the exhaust

👉 Market share of different filter systems difficult to assess

➢ Mercedes offers a NO2-neutral filter for all EIII – HGVs
   👫 has more than 60% market share for HGVs

➢ TwinTec produces DPF with active regeneration (NO2 – neutral)

👍 Calculations for different NO2-accumulation figures

➢ for trend scenario 2010 without LEZ with low level for DPF retrofit

➢ for stage 2 of LEZ with enhanced DPF retrofit
NO2 als Summe aus NO2 direkt und Anteilen aus NO
Vergleich zu Ist 2008
(Ist 2008= 100)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anteil NO2 aus NO</th>
<th>Trend NO2-neutral</th>
<th>UWZ NO2 neutral</th>
<th>Trend 15% NO2</th>
<th>UWZ 15% NO2</th>
<th>Trend 25% NO2</th>
<th>UWZ 25% NO2</th>
<th>Trend 40% NO2</th>
<th>UWZ 40% NO2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Verhältnis geg. 2008 in %
NO2 balance sheet calculations for LEZ stage 2…

results

- based on realistic assumptions…
  - 40-60% of NO2 street canyon pollution stems from NO-emissions, which will drop due to the LEZ
  - DPF retrofit of HGVs leads to 15-25% more NO2-emissions
  - DPF retrofit of cars/LDVs leads to less NO2-emissions

- LEZ stage 2 will result in a net reduction of traffic related NO2-levels by
  - 5-10% on top of trend
- no visible shift of traffic into surrounding areas
- significant change in the vehicle fleet composition:
  - fewer „dirty“ vehicles (<E1):
    - LGV/HGV: only 4-7% instead of 30%
  - more clean vehicles (E4):
    - cars 73% instead of 44%,
    - lorries 50% instead of 17-23%
- decrease of traffic emissions on top of trend:
  - exhaust particles: - 35%; NOx: - 19%
- LEZ is most effective single measure, if
  - based on ambitious emission criteria
  - covering a larger area
  - introduced not too late
  - exemptions are limited
  - possible benefit for the air quality
    - 5-10% reduction of PM10/2.5 & NO2,
    - traffic related decrease of black carbon ~20%
    - ~10 less excess days > 50 µg/m³ PM10
✓ (national) vehicle classification scheme in force in time
   ➥ EU-wide regulation !?

✓ technical criteria for retrofit systems to be set early
   ➥ EU-wide regulation, at least cross-border compatibility!!
   ➥ prohibit increase of NO2-emissions !!

✓ sufficient market coverage for retrofit kits, in particular for commercial vehicles

✓ economic incentives
   ➥ tax discounts, funding for cleaner/retrofitted vehicles
     (with particle trap, CNG, hybrid, etc.)

✓ stricter vehicle emission standards
   (噍 EU-wide regulation !!)

✓ sufficiently long transition period
✓ few exemptions from traffic ban
✓ intensive public information
✓ effective enforcement & sanctions

But, LEZ alone not sufficient, needs to be supplemented by…
particle filter in passenger cruise ships

pilot project 2008-2010:

- retrofit of 3 vessels with different filter systems
- monitoring of filter efficiency, performance and handling during routine operation
Berlin transport strategy

**Portfolio of measures**

- **Sustainable transport modes & car sharing**
  - Speed limits
  - Traffic light synchronisation
  - Optimising vehicle flow

- **Roadspace reallocation**
  - Extra bus lanes
  - Traffic light priority for bus

- **Parking management**

- **Traffic bans**

- **Traffic planning**
  - Re-routing through traffic

- **Promotion of sustainable transport modes & car sharing**

---
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traffic management measures

¬ potential impact on air quality

- shift modal split from motor traffic to clean transport modes
  ¬ Berlin's planning objective:
  -10% less motor traffic in 10-15 years
  results in 5-10% less NO2, 3-4% less total PM10

- optimizing traffic flows (progressive signal systems):
  ¬ impact difficult to quantify
  → local effect, traffic signal coordination works only in one direction, potentially negative effects on cross-roads
    ¬ conflict with acceleration of bus/tram
    ¬ risk that gained road capacities will attract more traffic
    ¬ small net gain in pollution control

- speed limit 30km/h:
  ¬ example Schildhornstraße Berlin: 10 % less NO2, -6% PM
    if traffic light coordination with 30 km/h works well
    speed limit is enforced
    → also less noise and traffic accidents

- truck ban:
  ¬ example HEAVEN project: up to 20% less NO2, -7% PM
  → only local effect in single roads,
  merely shift to other roads, no net reduction
- NO2 direct emissions of cars & LGV increased up to Euro 4 due to lack of regulation
- HGV up to Euro V show no decrease of NOx emissions under real urban conditions
- only Euro 6/VI will (hopefully) bring about a tangible reduction of NOx & NO2 in cities
- but Euro 6/VI mandatory only 2014/15, won‘t help to attain NO2 AQ standards by 2010/2015

EU vehicle emission control policy has largely flopped!
- full compliance with NO2 air quality standards even by 2015 will be terribly difficult
- air pollution problems, in particular from traffic, cannot be solved only by municipalities

Real NOx emissions of heavy duty vehicles in urban driving conditions:

Scenario calculation for a traffic site in Stuttgart (Germany):
- LV excess even in 2020 and even if all vehicles were Euro 6/VI

Source: Udo Lambrecht
IFEU Institute 2010
Thanks for listening!

For more information on

- Berlin’s LEZ see www.berlin.de/umweltzone (also in EN & FR)
- LEZ in Germany see http://www.umweltbundesamt.de/umweltzonen/index.htm
- LEZ-cities in Europe visit www.lowemissionzones.eu, the website of the European Network of LEZ-cities (LEEZEN)
- transport related measures in EU cities visit www.civitas.eu