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Introduction
China is the world’s largest producer and consumer of coal. It satisfies roughly 80\% of its energy demand by coal combustion. Currently, China has power plants producing about 250 GW power in total and has plans to double its power-generating capacity until 2010. Many Chinese coal power plants are old and inefficient, but since China’s energy demand is growing continuously, they remain operative. On a global scale, Chinese coal combustion is responsible for 15\% of the world’s CO\textsubscript{2} emissions, and this percentage is likely to increase in the future in view of the rapidly growing energy demand in China. On a local scale, air pollution is a serious health problem in China. Air-pollution related mortality is approximately three times higher than in Switzerland. We present particle and exhaust gas measurements done on a small coal power plant in the city of Beijing and propose measures to improve the efficiency and the cleanliness of this power plant.

The Power Plant
Our measurements were performed on the pilot power plant of Tsinghua University, Beijing. The power plant is located just outside the university campus in the northwest of Beijing. It is a pressurized fluidised bed combustor – coal is ground into pieces of a few mm diameter and fed into the combustion zone where the coal pieces are suspended in the strong primary air flow. A secondary air flow is added to adjust the O\textsubscript{2} level in the combustion process. The combustion process is controlled manually by regulating primary and secondary air flows. The power plant has a thermal power of 60MW and burns 20 tons of coal per day.

Sampling System
A schematic overview of the particle sampling system is given in Figure 1.

![Figure 1: the particle sampling system](image-url)
Particles are sampled isokinetically in the middle of the stack. A cyclone filters out all particles larger than 5 micron, afterwards the filtered exhaust gas is diluted by a factor 170 with a dilution unit [1] and measured in a Nanomet-System, equipped with PAS and DC (photoelectric charging and diffusion charging of aerosols, see [2] for details) sensors. Two computer-controlled valves, V₁ and V₂ are opened and closed periodically to flush the sampling system with pressurized air for cleaning. This is necessary as dust levels in the flue gas are very high.

The gas sampling system is simpler: the exhaust gas passes through a sinter-metal filter which removes all particles. After this, the gas is cooled in a cooling unit and then O₂, CO₂, CO and NO levels are measured with commercial sensors (Hartmann & Braun).

Additionally we measured some signals characterising the combustion process and the output power from the control room: Combustion temperature, steam temperature, steam pressure and steam flow. The last three can be multiplied together to give a signal proportional to the thermal power of the plant.

Both particle and gas measurement are fully automated and computer controlled. The system remained operative for three months, from March to May 2000.

Results

Figure 2 shows a time series of the two particle signals, PAS and DC. The signals vary rapidly on short timescales – this is an indication that the combustion is not well controlled.

![DC PAS time series](image)

**Figure 2: time series of the particle signals from 28\textsuperscript{th} march to 2\textsuperscript{nd} april 2000.**

Figure 3 shows a plot of the PAS and the DC signal against each other. The two particle signals correlate very well, the DC signal is offset by a small amount. This small offset is caused by ash particles (mineral dust) which are not seen by the PAS sensor.

Figure 4 shows the PAS signal plotted versus CO concentration. The two signals correlate well. This is not too surprising, since both soot particles and CO are indicators for incomplete combustion. However, this correlation is not seen in the exhaust of diesel car engines. Therefore one cannot generalize this result to all combustion processes.
Figure 3: PAS versus DC level (31st march)    Figure 4: PAS versus CO level (31st march)

Figure 5 shows the thermal power plotted versus the CO$_2$ level. Once again, the large variation of the data points indicates a bad control of the combustion process. It is obvious that the output power is higher for high CO$_2$ levels, corresponding to relatively low lambda values, when the heat exchange from the flue gas to the steam is more efficient.

![Figure 5: thermal power versus CO$_2$ level](image)

**Conclusions**

Our measurements show clear correlations between CO$_2$ level in the exhaust gas and thermal power, and also between PAS signal and CO level. By fitting this power plant with relatively cheap gas sensors for CO and CO$_2$ one can keep track of both the cleanliness and the efficiency of the combustion. Regulating the power plant with the help of these sensors could improve the efficiency by about 5% and/or reduce the particulate pollution significantly. Online particle monitoring is also possible but it is much more expensive than gas sensors, and also needs more operator interaction – particle measurements are subject to much more dirt than gas measurements.
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